

Testimony of the Senate Energy and Technology Committee, on the "Readying Michigan to Make Good Energy Decisions Renewable Energy Report"

March 25, 2014

Dear Honorable Members of Senate Energy and Technology Committee,

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on these important subjects. Our testimony outlines key criteria for evaluating future energy investments. These criteria include,

- Control Costs
- Minimize Risk
- Promote Economic Development
- Protect Public Health and Natural Resources
- Preserve Electric Reliability

We believe these considerations should be continued through a future commitment to transition from old, outdated coal capacity to renewable energy assets at the rate of 1.5% per year.


The attached material (power point slides) constitutes as the body of our testimony.


We are glad to answer any follow-up questions.

Sincerely,

James Clift
Policy Director
james@environmentalcouncilorg

Sarah Mullkoff
Energy Program Director
sarah@environmentalcouncil.org







# Protecting Public Health

- \* 2009 study that demonstrated nine oldest coal plants responsible for over \$1.5 billion health care costs and damages annually.
- \* Fish consumption advisory on all Michigan inland lakes due to mercury contamination.
- \* One in Six women of childbearing age, already have mercury levels which exceed EPA safe levels

4

## Hidden Costs of Coal -more than \$2 billion/year



HEALTH IMPACT	NUMBER OF CASES
Premature mortality	660
Cardiovascular hospital admissions	150
Respiratory hospital admissions	210
Chronic bronchitis	280
Asthma emergency room visits	450
Asthma exacerbations	250,000
Minor restricted activity days	260,000

Source: "Public Health Impacts of Old Coal-Fired Power Plants in Michigan", Environmental Health and Engineering. Inc. 2011.

### **Controlling Costs**

- \* Costs of renewable energy has dropped to below \$50/Mwh
- \* Less than the average costs on all existing nonrenewable energy averaged together
- Elimination of production tax credit will result in increase – not clear how much of an impact
- \* Public health impacts vary by source


6

## Minimizing risks

- Renewable power provides long-term stable prices for power – will not fluctuate based on fuel costs
- Smaller incremental projects reduce the risk that we will overbuild and be left with stranded assets
- \* Diverse resource mix reduces chance of power disruption
- \* Help reduce the risks associated with climate change

Promoting economic development

- \* Over \$2 billion in new investment in Michigan since 2008
- \* Spreads tax benefits of utility infrastructure across more communities
- Royalty payments provide income to Michigan residents which recirculate it into local economies
- \* Manufacturing innovation benefits for other industries



#### Tale of two utilities

- \* Consumers Energy has announced retirement of around 1000 MW of old coal capacity. New purchase of a plant in Jackson still leaves them about 500 MW short.
- \* Detroit Edison is still 75% reliant on coal, much of it older and dirty. Much of it is marginal capacity used less than 50% of the time. New renewables and natural gas should be replacing a significant portion of their fleet.

10

Renewable Energy		
Goals	Renewable Energy	
Control Costs	Cost at or below existing non-renewable resources	
Minimize Risk	Long-term stable price contracts available	
Promote Economic Development	Uses more Michigan made goods and workers	
Protect Public Health	Reduces public health impacts of electricity production	
Preserve Reliability	No impacts when mixed with complimentary resources	

### **Contact Info**



Michigan Environmental Council 602 W. Ionia St. Lansing, Michigan 48933

James Clift james@environmentalcouncil.org 517-256-0553

Sarah Mullkoff sarah@environmentalcouncil.org 517-999-0416